BASIS FOR AMENDMENTS

Applicants have amended the specification on page 1, lines 5-7, to correct a clerical error. Claim 2 has been rewritten in independent form. No new matter has been added. This amendment cancels, without prejudice, claim 1, thereby placing the application in condition for allowance.

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated February 13, 2003, claims 1-28 were considered and the Examiner rejected claim 1, entered objections to the claims 2-6 and 13-15, and withdrew from consideration the claims 7-12, 16-18, 23-25, 27 and 28. The Examiner's allowance of claims 19-22 and 26 is noted with appreciation. Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,336,934 issued to Gilson et al. ("Gilson"). The Office Action reports objections to the claims 2-6 and 13-15 indicating that each of the claims is dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

1. Withdrawal of Claims 23-25, 27 and 28

The Office Action indicates that independent claim 19 and dependent claims 20-22 are allowed (page 1) and generic (page 2, second paragraph). Withdrawn claims 23-25, 27 and 28 which depend from allowed, generic claim 19 incorporate all of the limitations of generic claim 19. Accordingly, claims 23-25, 27 and 28 are in condition for allowance.

1

Amendment and Responsi U.S.S.N. 09/666,452 Page 4 of 7

2. Election/Restriction

The Office Action indicates that a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Figure 5, claims 1-6, 13-15, 19-22 and 26. Applicants affirm this election.

Applicants understand that upon allowance of generic claims corresponding to the elected species, withdrawn species claims may be allowed as provided by 37 CFR § 1.141, and as set forth in MPEP § 806.04(h). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request confirmation that withdrawn claims 7-12, 16-18, 23-25, 27 and 28 will be considered in view of allowed generic claim 19, and upon allowance of generic claims 2-6.

3. Rejection of Claim 1 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

This amendment cancels, without prejudice, claim 1.

4. Objections to Claims 2-6 and 13-15

The Examiner entered objections to the claims 2-6 and 13-15, indicating that each of the claims is dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant respectfully submits that Claim 2 has been rewritten in independent form incorporating some of the limitations of base claim 1. Accordingly, Applicant believes claim 2, and depending claims 3-6 and 13-15 are now in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments to the claims and the arguments presented herein,

Applicants submit that, at the least, claims 2-28 of the application are in condition for

allowance and request favorable action by the Examiner. If any additional fee is due the

a

Amendment and Responsi U.S.S.N. 09/666,452 Page 5 of 7

Director is authorized to charge such fee to deposit account No. 20-0531. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conversation with Applicants' attorney would expedite allowance of this application, the Examiner is cordially invited to call the undersigned attorney at (617)-248-7044.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June <u>/ 3</u>, 2003 Reg. No. 44,244

Tel. No.: (617) 248-7044 Fax No.: (617) 248-7100 Ronda P. Moore, D.V.M.
Attorney for Applicants

TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP

High Street Tower 125 High Street Boston, MA 02110



Amendment and Response U.S.S.N. 09/666,452 Page 6 of 7

Attachment B AMENDED SPECIFICATION IN MARK-UP FORMAT

	1	This ap	plication	sis a	continuation	-in-part	application	on of co	pending	U.S.	Seria
--	---	---------	-----------	-------	--------------	----------	-------------	----------	---------	------	-------

- No. 09/360,654 filed on July 26, 1999 and is based upon] claims the benefit of U.S.
- 3 Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/155,090 filed September 22, 1999.

4



Amendment and Responsi U.S.S.N. 09/666,452 Page*7 of 7

Attachment B AMENDED CLAIMS IN MARK-UP FORMAT

2. (Amended)	A	medical	app	oaratus	comprising:
,					

an intervascular device having a contracted configuration and an expanded
configuration, said intervascular device including an elongate wire receiving unit having an
open ended channel extending therethrough for receiving a guidewire, and
said guidewire having an elongate body extending along a longitudinal axis
between a proximal end and a distal end and [The combination of claim 1 wherein
said guidewire includes] an expandable and contractable stop member mounted thereon
which is movable between a first contracted position and a second expanded position,
said expandable and contractable stop member being [dimensional] dimensioned to
pass through said channel in the first contracted position thereof and being dimensioned
in the second expanded position thereof to have an outer dimension which is greater
than the inner dimension of said channel but less than the outer dimension of said
intervascular device in the expanded configuration thereof [whereby said expandable and
contractable stop member is radially spaced from the inner wall of said blood vessel in the
second expanded position thereof], and said elongate body having an outer dimension
which is less than the inner dimension of said channel to permit free movement of
said guidewire relative to said intervascular device within said channel in opposite
directions along the longitudinal axis of said guidewire.